

Absolute clock synchronization with a single time-correlated photon pair source over a 10 km optical fibre

JIANWEI LEE,¹ LIJIONG SHEN,¹ ADRIAN NUGRAHA UTAMA,¹ AND CHRISTIAN KURTSIEFER^{1,2,*}

¹Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543, Singapore

²Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117551, Singapore

*christian.kurtsiefer@gmail.com

Abstract: We demonstrate a point-to-point clock synchronization protocol based on bidirectionally propagating photons generated in a single spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) source. Tight timing correlations between photon pairs are used to determine the single and round-trip times measured by two separate clocks, providing sufficient information for distance-independent absolute synchronization secure against symmetric delay attacks. We show that the coincidence signature useful for determining the round-trip time of a synchronization channel, established using a 10 km telecommunications fiber, can be derived from photons reflected off the end face of the fiber without additional optics. Our technique allows the synchronization of multiple clocks with a single reference clock co-located with the source, without requiring additional pair sources, in a client-server configuration suitable for synchronizing a network of clocks.

© 2022 Optical Society of America

1. Introduction

Complementary to clock recovery schemes from data streams, absolute clock synchronization protocols, e.g. network time protocol (NTP), precision time protocol (PTP), two-way satellite time transfer (TWSTT), are widely-used to determine the offset between physically separated clocks [1–4]. By exchanging counter-propagating signals, and assuming a symmetric synchronization channel, parties estimate one-way propagation delays as half the round-trip time signals without characterizing their physical separation beforehand. Spatially separated parties then deduce their absolute clock offset by comparing signal propagation times measured with their devices with the expected propagation delay [5]. Recently, protocol implementations with entangled photon pairs suggest securing the synchronization channel by measuring non-local correlations – a technique inspired by entanglement-based quantum key distribution (QKD) [6–8]. With independent hydrogen-maser and rubidium clocks as references, the protocol has a demonstrated timing stability limited to the intrinsic instability of the clocks over 7 km [9], and is secure against symmetric-delay attacks [6]. However, to realize a bidirectional exchange of photons, these demonstrations required a photon pair source at each end of the synchronization channel, posing a resource challenge when synchronizing multiple clocks.

In this work, we experimentally demonstrate a bidirectional clock synchronization protocol where the synchronization channel is established with a 10 km optical fiber and a single entangled photon pair source. The round-trip time is sampled using time-correlation measurements between the detection times of photon pairs, with one photon of the pair back-reflected at the remote side using the end face of the fiber. We demonstrate a distance-independent synchronization of two separated clocks, referenced to independent rubidium frequency standards. Already from a quite modest photon pair detection rate of 160 s^{-1} we obtain a precision sufficient to resolve clock




Fig. 1. Clock synchronization setup. Alice has a source of time-correlated photon pairs based on spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) and a single-photon nanowire photodetector (SNSPD). One photon of the pair is detected locally, while the other one is sent through a single mode fiber of length L to be detected on the remote side with Bob's InGaAs avalanche photodiode (APD). Times of arrival for all detected photons are recorded at each side with respect to the local clock, each locked to a rubidium frequency reference (10 MHz). Occasionally, a transmitted photon is reflected at the end face of the fiber back to Alice, allowing her to determine the round-trip time and derive the absolute offset between the clocks.

45 offset fluctuations with an uncertainty of 88 ps in 100 s, consistent with the intrinsic frequency
 46 instability between our clocks.

47 2. Time synchronization protocol

48 The protocol involves two parties, Alice and Bob, connected by a single mode optical fiber (see
 49 Fig. 1). Alice has an SPDC source producing photon pairs, one photon is detected locally, while
 50 the other is sent and detected on the remote side. Occasionally, the transmitted photon undergoes
 51 Fresnel reflection ($R \approx 3.5\%$) at the end face of the fiber, and is eventually detected by Alice
 52 instead. Every photodetection event is time tagged according to a local clock which assigns time
 53 stamps t and t' at Alice and Bob, respectively.

54 Photon pairs emerging from SPDC are tightly time-correlated (≈ 100 fs) [10]. Thus, for an
 55 offset δ between the clocks, a propagation time Δt_{AB} from Alice to Bob, and Δt_{BA} in the other
 56 direction, the second-order correlation function [11] $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ of the time difference $\tau = t' - t$
 57 has a peak at

$$\tau_{AB} = \delta + \Delta t_{AB} \quad (1)$$

58 due to pairs detected at opposite ends of the channel, whereas for two photons detected by Alice
 59 at t and $t + \tau$, the auto-correlation function $R(\tau)$ will show a peak at

$$\tau_{AA} = \Delta t_{AB} + \Delta t_{BA}, \quad (2)$$

60 corresponding to the round-trip time of the channel. If the propagation times in the two directions
 61 are the same, $\Delta t_{AB} = \Delta t_{BA}$, the the clock offset can be deduced directly from the positions of the
 62 two peaks using

$$\delta = \tau_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{AA}, \quad (3)$$

63 independently of the propagation time Δt_{AB} . In this way, the protocol is inherently robust against
 64 symmetric changes in channel propagation times.




Fig. 2. Clock synchronization scheme. Alice and Bob measure detection times t and t' of photon pairs generated from Alice's source using local clocks. Detection times t_1 and t'_2 are associated with a time-correlated photon pair where one photon of the pair is transmitted to Bob, while t_3 and t_4 are associated with a pair where one of the photons is reflected at Bob back to Alice. The single-trip time τ_{AB} of photons in the synchronization channel, calculated from the time difference $t'_2 - t_1$, depends on the signal delay Δt_{AB} associated with the length of the channel, and the absolute clock offset δ between the clocks. The round-trip time τ_{AA} of the channel is estimated using $t_4 - t_3$. Assuming a symmetric delay channel, δ can be derived from τ_{AB} and τ_{AA} without *a priori* knowing Δt_{AB} .

65 3. Experiment

66 A sketch of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. Our photon pair source [12–14] is based
 67 on Type-0 SPDC in a periodically-poled crystal of potassium titanyl phosphate (PPKTP) pumped
 68 by a laser diode at 658 nm (Ondax, stabilized with holographic grating). The resulting photon
 69 pairs are degenerate at 1316 nm, close to the zero dispersion wavelength of the synchronization
 70 channel (SMF-28e, 10 km), with a bandwidth of ≈ 50 nm on either side of this wavelength [14].
 71 Signal and idler photons are efficiently separated using a wavelength division demultiplexer
 72 (WDM). Fiber beam splitters separate the photon pairs so that one photon is detected locally
 73 with a superconducting nanowire single-photon detector (SNSPD, optimized for 1550 nm), while
 74 the other photon is routed into the synchronization channel where it is detected on the remote
 75 side with an InGaAs avalanche photodiode (APD). The SNSPD has relatively low jitter (≈ 40 ps)
 76 compared to APDs (≈ 300 ps), and allows Alice to measure the round-trip time more accurately
 77 regardless of the choice of detector by the remote party. With a pump power of 2.5 mW focused
 78 to a beam waist of $140 \mu\text{m}$ at the centre of the crystal, we observed pair rates of 160 s^{-1} and
 79 8900 s^{-1} associated with the round-trip and single-trip propagation of photons, respectively.

80 Photon detection times t and t' at Alice and Bob are registered with a nominal resolution of
 81 ≈ 4 ps. We compute [15] the histograms $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ and $R(\tau)$ with a bin width of of 62.5 ps, and




Fig. 3. Timing correlations showing coincidence peaks due to (a) round-trip and (b) single-trip propagation of photons in the synchronization channel. (a) $r(\tau)$: auto-correlation function $R(\tau)$ normalized to background coincidences extracted from Alice's timestamps acquired over 100 s. (b) $g^{(2)}(\tau)$: cross-correlation function $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ normalized to background coincidences extracted from Alice and Bob's timestamps acquired over 3 s. Solid lines: fits to heuristic model. τ_{AA} and τ_{AB} : peak positions of respective distributions. Error bars: propagated Poissonian counting statistics.

82 observed coincidence peaks associated with the single-trip and round-trip propagating photons
 83 (FWHM = 905 ps and 950 ps, respectively). Figure 3 shows the respective histograms normalized
 84 to background coincidences when the two clocks are locked to a common rubidium frequency
 85 reference (Stanford Research Systems FS725), separated by a fiber spool of constant length
 86 $L = 10$ km. To deduce the clock offset, we first generate empirical models (Fig. 3, solid-lines)
 87 for the two coincidence peaks using 100 s of timestamp data – the models are used to fit subsequent
 88 histograms to extract peak positions τ_{AB} and τ_{AA} . With the peak positions, we then determine
 89 the clock offset using Eqs. 2 and 3.

90 To characterize the synchronization precision δt as a function of the acquisition time, we
 91 measure the standard deviation of twenty offset measurements, each extracted from time stamps
 92 recorded for a duration T_a . Figure 4 shows the precision of the measured offset, single-trip
 93 (τ_{AB}) and round-trip times (τ_{AA}). We observe that the precision for the single and round-trip
 94 times improves with T_a for timescales $\lesssim 100$ s, but deteriorates for longer timescales. We
 95 attribute this effect to temperature-dependent ($\Delta T = 45$ mK over 1 min, 160 mK over 3 hours)
 96 length fluctuations, given that the propagation delay variation [16] of our fiber is several
 97 $10 \text{ ps km}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. However, we observe that these long-term fluctuations are suppressed in the
 98 clock offset measurement with the distance-independent synchronization protocol.

99 For subsequent demonstrations, we set $T_a = 3$ s and 90 s for the single and round-trip time




Fig. 4. Precision of the round-trip (red) and single-trip (blue) times, and the clock offset (black) between two clocks. Both clocks are locked to the same frequency reference. Error bars: precision uncertainty due to errors in determining the positions, τ_{AB} and τ_{AA} , of the coincidence peaks.

100 measurements, obtaining a precision of 12 ps and 14 ps, respectively. Each 90 s window used
 101 to evaluate the round-trip time thus contains thirty single-trip time measurements. For each
 102 single-trip time value, we evaluate the clock offset using the round-trip time evaluated in the same
 103 window. This results in a precision of 16 ps for the measured offset. Measuring the single-trip
 104 delay with shorter T_a enables frequent measuring of $G^{(2)}(\tau)$, and is useful for tracking the
 105 position of its coincidence peak (τ_{AB}) in the scenario where clocks are locked to independent
 106 frequency references.

107 The minimum resolvable clock separation associated with the offset precision is 3.3 mm. To
 108 demonstrate that the protocol is secure against symmetric channel delay attacks, we change the
 109 propagation length over several meters during synchronization — three orders of magnitude
 110 larger than the minimum resolvable length-scale.

111 4. Distance-independent clock synchronization with the same reference clock

112 To simulate a symmetric channel delay attack, we impose different propagation distances using
 113 different fiber lengths. Figure 5 shows the measured offset δ and the round-trip time ΔT , with
 114 an overall standard deviation of 26 ps, and an overall mean of $\bar{\delta}$. The sets of δ obtained for
 115 $L = L_0 + 1$ m and $L_0 + 10$ m, with mean offsets $\bar{\delta} - 24(17)$ ps, and $\bar{\delta} + 20(20)$ ps, respectively,
 116 show significant overlap with those obtained with $L = L_0 = 10$ km with mean offset $\bar{\delta} + 1(17)$ ps.
 117 Comparing the additional mean offset of 19(26) ps to the additional single-trip delay (48.3 ns)
 118 expected for extending our optical channel from $L = L_0$ to $L_0 + 10$ m, our protocol suppresses the
 119 contribution of the additional propagation delay on the measured offset by a factor of $\approx 4 \times 10^{-4}$.

120 As the mean offset values do not appear to correlate with L , we do not attribute the differences




Fig. 5. (a) Measured offset δ between two clocks, both locked on the same frequency reference. The continuous line indicates the average offset $\bar{\delta}$. Error bars: precision uncertainty due to errors in determining the positions, τ_{AB} and τ_{AA} , of the coincidence peaks. Dashed lines: one standard deviation. (b) The round-trip time ΔT was changed using fiber lengths $L = L_0 = 10$ km, $L_0 + 1$ m, and $L_0 + 10$ m. $\Delta T_0 = 103.3 \mu\text{s}$.

121 between the mean offset values to any length-dependent mechanism. We observe however, in
 122 Fig. 5(a), that the offsets measured changed continuously and gradually even when L was changed
 123 abruptly during the the symmetric delay attack. Given these observations, and given that both
 124 timestamp units were disciplined to the same Rubidium oscillator over the entire measurement
 125 duration in Fig. 5, it is plausible that the remaining continuous offset drift can be attributed to the
 126 long-term instability of the timestamp units; the timestamp unit accuracy fluctuates due to the
 127 non-uniformity of implementing timestamping bin-widths, and varies as a function of operation
 128 time and temperature.

129 5. Distance-independent clock synchronization with independent clocks

130 To examine a more realistic scenario, we provide each time-stamping unit with an independent
 131 frequency reference (both Stanford Research Systems FS725), resulting in a clock offset that
 132 drifts with time $\delta \rightarrow \delta(t)$.

133 The frequency references each have a nominal frequency accuracy $d_0 < 5 \times 10^{-11}$, resulting in
 134 a relative accuracy $\sqrt{2} d_0$ between two clocks. We evaluate the offset from the time stamps every
 135 $T_a = 3$ s so that the maximum expected drift (< 212 ps) of the coincidence peak in $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ is
 136 smaller than its FWHM. This pseudo-stationary regime allows the peak positions to be extracted
 137 with the same fitting procedure used when the clocks are locked onto the same frequency
 138 reference [6].

139 We again simulate a symmetric channel delay attack using three different values of L . Figure 6
 140 shows the measured $\delta(t)$ which appears to follow a continuous trend over different round-trip




Fig. 6. (a) Measured offset δ between two clocks with different frequency references. Each value of δ was evaluated from measuring photon pair timing correlations for 3 s. The offset measured at the beginning is δ_0 . Continuous blue line: fit used to extract the relative frequency accuracy ($\approx 5.16 \times 10^{-11}$) between the clocks. (b) Residual of the fit fluctuates due to the intrinsic instability of the individual frequency references. (c) The round-trip time ΔT was changed using three different fiber lengths.

141 times, indicating that the delay attacks were ineffective. Discontinuities in $\delta(t)$ correspond to
 142 periods when fibers were changed.

143 To verify that meaningful clock parameters can be extracted from $\delta(t)$ despite the attack, we
 144 fit the data to a parabola $at^2 + dt + b$, where a , d and b represent the relative aging, frequency
 145 accuracy and bias of the frequency references, respectively [17]. The resulting relative frequency
 146 accuracy between the clocks, $d = 5.1654(7) \times 10^{-11}$, agrees with the nominal relative frequency
 147 accuracy $\sqrt{2}d_0$ between our frequency references. The residual of the fit, $r(t)$ (Fig. 6(b)),
 148 fluctuates [18] (Allan deviation = 2.2×10^{-12} , time deviation = 88 ps in 100 s) mainly due to the
 149 intrinsic instabilities of our frequency references (2×10^{-12} in 100 s each).

150 The symmetric channel delay attack demonstrated in this work abruptly changed the channel
 151 length, and is similar to the attacks demonstrated in Refs. [6, 7, 19]. For scenarios where the
 152 channel delay is changing continuously in time, our protocol is robust against small length
 153 changes due to thermal fluctuations or mechanical vibrations. To extract the peak positions of the
 154 cross-correlation and auto-correlation distributions, we need to remain in the pseudo-stationary
 155 regime where we require that the peaks do not shift significantly compared to their widths.
 156 The upper bound to the rate ν at which the channel length changes is determined by two

157 inequalities: $\frac{vT_a^{AB}}{u} + \sqrt{2}d_0T_a^{AB} < \text{FWHM}^{AB}$ and $2\frac{vT_a^{AA}}{u} < \text{FWHM}^{AA}$, where T_a^{AB} , FWHM^{AB}
 158 and $\frac{vT_a^{AB}}{u}$ (T_a^{AA} , FWHM^{AA} and $2\frac{vT_a^{AA}}{u}$) is the acquisition time, width and timing-shift of the
 159 cross (auto)-correlation coincidence peak, $\sqrt{2}d_0T_a^{AB}$ the timing-shift due to the relative frequency
 160 inaccuracy between the clocks, and $u = 2.04 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ the speed of 1316 nm photons in the
 161 SMF28e fibre. Substituting the values of $\text{FWHM}^{AB} = 905 \text{ ps}$, $\text{FWHM}^{AA} = 950 \text{ ps}$, $T_a^{AB} = 3 \text{ s}$
 162 and $T_a^{AA} = 90 \text{ s}$, we obtain an upper bound of $v_{max} \approx 50 \text{ mms}^{-1}$ and 1 mms^{-1} for measuring the
 163 single and round-trip times. We note that this upper bound increases with reduced acquisition
 164 times, at the expense of synchronization precision.

165 6. Protocol Security

166 Although not demonstrated in this work, Alice and Bob can verify the origin of each photon by
 167 synchronizing with polarization-entangled photon pairs and performing a Bell measurement to
 168 check for correspondence between the local and transmitted photons. This proposal addresses
 169 the issue of spoofing in current classical synchronization protocols [6, 8]. Presently, classical
 170 protocols are unable to authenticate a synchronization signal that has been delayed during an
 171 intercept, delay and resend attack when the resent signal has the same cryptographic characteristics
 172 as that of the genuine signal [5]. However, when entangled photons are used for synchronization,
 173 the same attack will, in-principle, degrade the distributed entanglement and alter the associated
 174 Bell measurement. This is a consequence of the quantum no-cloning theorem, which precludes
 175 an adversary from making an exact copy of the polarization state of the intercepted photon [20].

176 Due to the low coincidence-to-accidental ratio associated with the round-trip time measurement
 177 (CAR=0.13), this authentication scheme is only feasible for the single-trip time measurement
 178 (CAR=8.9). Consequently, users can only authenticate photons traveling from Alice to Bob, and
 179 have to assume that the synchronization channel has not been asymmetrically manipulated in
 180 order to incorporate the round-trip time measurement in the clock offset calculation (Eqn. 3).

181 In addition, we also assumed that the photon propagation times in both directions were equal
 182 ($\Delta t_{AB} = \Delta t_{BA}$). Without this assumption, the offset

$$\delta = \tau_{AB} - \tau_{AA} + \Delta t_{BA} \quad (4)$$

183 can no longer be obtained directly from the peak positions τ_{AB} and τ_{AA} .

184 We note that an adversary will be able to exploit both assumptions while evading detection by
 185 passively rerouting photons traveling in opposite directions in the synchronization channel without
 186 disturbing their polarization states [19]. This attack is based on the fact that the momentum and
 187 polarization degree-of-freedoms of our photons are separable, and remains a security loophole in
 188 similar implementations [6, 7].

189 7. Conclusion

190 We have demonstrated a protocol for synchronizing two spatially separated clocks absolutely with
 191 time-correlated photon pairs generated from SPDC. By assuming symmetry in the synchronization
 192 channel, the protocol does not require *a priori* knowledge of the relative distance or propagation
 193 times between two parties, providing security against symmetric channel delay attacks and
 194 timing signal authentication via the measurement of a Bell inequality [8]. Compared to previous
 195 implementations [6, 7], our protocol requires only a single photon pair source, relying on the
 196 back-reflected photon to sample the round-trip time of the synchronization channel. This
 197 arrangement allows multiple parties to synchronize with bidirectional signals with a single source.

198 With our protocol, we synchronize two independent rubidium clocks while changing their rela-
 199 tive separation, using telecommunication fibers of various lengths ($\geq 10 \text{ km}$) as a synchronization
 200 channel. Even with relatively modest detected coincidence rates (160 s^{-1}) used for the round-trip
 201 time measurement, we obtained a precision sufficient to resolve clock offset fluctuations with a

202 time deviation of 88 ps in 100 s, consistent with the intrinsic frequency instabilities of our clocks.
203 The precision improves with detectors with lower timing jitter [7], brighter sources, or for a
204 transmission channel with insignificant dispersion (free space). Frequency entanglement may
205 also be leveraged to cancel dispersion non-locally, improving protocol precision over optical
206 channels in future work [7].

207 8. Backmatter

208 **Funding.** This research is supported by the National Research Foundation, Prime Minister's Office,
209 Singapore and the Ministry of Education, Singapore under the Research Centres of Excellence programme.

210 **Acknowledgments.** We thank S-Fifteen Instruments for assistance with the entangled photon pair source
211 and the InGaAs detector.

212 **Disclosures.** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

213 **Data availability.** Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time
214 due to their large file size (about 310 Gb) but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

215 References

- 216 1. W. Wenjun, D. Shaowu, L. Huanxin, and Z. Hong, "Two-way satellite time and frequency transfer: Overview, recent
217 developments and application," in *2014 European Frequency and Time Forum (EFTF)*, (IEEE, 2014), pp. 121–125.
- 218 2. D. L. Mills, "Internet time synchronization: the network time protocol," *IEEE Transactions on Commun.* **39**,
219 1482–1493 (1991).
- 220 3. "Ieee standard for a precision clock synchronization protocol for networked measurement and control systems," IEC
221 61588:2009(E) pp. C1–274 (2009).
- 222 4. P. Moreira, J. Serrano, T. Wlostowski, P. Loschmidt, and G. Gaderer, "White rabbit: Sub-nanosecond timing
223 distribution over ethernet," in *2009 International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement,
224 Control and Communication*, (2009), pp. 1–5.
- 225 5. L. Narula and T. E. Humphreys, "Requirements for secure clock synchronization," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.*
226 **12**, 749–762 (2018).
- 227 6. J. Lee, L. Shen, A. Cerè, J. Troupe, A. Lamas-Linares, and C. Kurtsiefer, "Symmetrical clock synchronization with
228 time-correlated photon pairs," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **114**, 101102 (2019).
- 229 7. F. Hou, R. Quan, R. Dong, X. Xiang, B. Li, T. Liu, X. Yang, H. Li, L. You, Z. Wang, and S. Zhang, "Fiber-optic
230 two-way quantum time transfer with frequency-entangled pulses," *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 023849 (2019).
- 231 8. A. Lamas-Linares and J. Troupe, "Secure quantum clock synchronization," in *Advances in Photonics of Quantum
232 Computing, Memory, and Communication XI*, vol. 10547 (International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2018), p.
233 105470L.
- 234 9. R. Quan, H. Hong, W. Xue, H. Quan, W. Zhao, X. Xiang, Y. Liu, M. Cao, T. Liu, S. Zhang, and R. Dong,
235 "Implementation of field two-way quantum synchronization of distant clocks across a 7 km deployed fiber link," *Opt.
236 Express* **30**, 10269–10279 (2022).
- 237 10. C.-K. Hong, Z.-Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, "Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by
238 interference," *Phys. review letters* **59**, 2044 (1987).
- 239 11. R. J. Glauber, "The quantum theory of optical coherence," *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 2529 (1963).
- 240 12. Y. Shi, S. Moe Thar, H. S. Poh, J. A. Grieve, C. Kurtsiefer, and A. Ling, "Stable polarization entanglement based
241 quantum key distribution over a deployed metropolitan fiber," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **117**, 124002 (2020).
- 242 13. A. Lohrmann, C. Perumangatt, A. Villar, and A. Ling, "Broadband pumped polarization entangled photon-pair
243 source in a linear beam displacement interferometer," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **116**, 021101 (2020).
- 244 14. J. A. Grieve, Y. Shi, H. S. Poh, C. Kurtsiefer, and A. Ling, "Characterizing nonlocal dispersion compensation in
245 deployed telecommunications fiber," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **114**, 131106 (2019).
- 246 15. C. Ho, A. Lamas-Linares, and C. Kurtsiefer, "Clock synchronization by remote detection of correlated photon pairs,"
247 *New J. Phys.* **11**, 045011 (2009).
- 248 16. M. Bousonville and J. Rausch, "Velocity of signal delay changes in fibre optic cables," in *Proceedings of the Ninth
249 European Workshop on Beam Diagnostics and Instrumentation for Particle Accelerators (DIPAC)*, (2009).
- 250 17. G. Xu and Y. Xu, *GPS, Theory, Algorithms and Applications* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016).
- 251 18. W. J. Riley, *Handbook of frequency stability analysis* (US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards
252 and Technology, 2008).
- 253 19. J. Lee, L. Shen, A. Cerè, J. Troupe, A. Lamas-Linares, and C. Kurtsiefer, "Asymmetric delay attack on an
254 entanglement-based bidirectional clock synchronization protocol," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **115**, 141101 (2019).
- 255 20. W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, "A single quantum cannot be cloned," *Nature* **299**, 802–803 (1982).