

Operating a near-concentric cavity at the last stable resonance

ChiHuan Nguyen,¹ Adrian Nugraha Utama,¹ Nick Lewty,¹ and Christian Kurtsiefer^{1,2}

¹*Centre for Quantum Technologies, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543*

²*Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117542**

(Dated: June 8, 2018)

Near-concentric optical cavities of spherical mirrors can provide technical advantages over the conventional near-planar cavities in applications requiring strong atom-light interaction, as they concentrate light in a very small region of space. However, such cavities barely support stable optical modes, and thus impose practical challenges. Here, we present an experiment where we maintain a near-concentric cavity at its last resonant length for laser light at 780 nm resonant with an atomic transition. At this point, the spacing of two spherical mirror surfaces is 207(13) nm shorter than the critical concentric point, corresponding to a stability parameter $g = -0.999962(2)$ and a cavity beam waist of $2.4 \mu\text{m}$.

PACS numbers: 32.90.+a, 37.30.+i, 42.50.Ct

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical cavities are widely used, ranging from lasers and gravitational wave detectors to experiments in quantum physics exploring nonlinear atom-light interaction. In particular, atom-cavity systems with ultra-high finesse cavities are a key component in demonstrations of quantum logic gates, distributed quantum networks, quantum metrology, and sensing applications [1–3] using cavity quantum electrodynamics. The intricate high-reflectivity coatings of the cavity mirrors used in these experiments, however, can pose a challenge on scaling systems up. Therefore, new types of optical cavities and resonance structures to enhance the electrical field of an optical mode have been considered recently [4, 5]. One such a cavity design that has been experimentally demonstrated is a near-concentric Fabry-Perot cavity [5, 6]. Outside the field of cavity QED, these near-unstable cavities have been considered to reduce the influence of thermal noise of the mirror coatings on gravitational wave detectors [7].

Near-concentric cavities are formed by two spherical mirrors with a normal separation l_{cav} just short of the sum of the two radii of curvatures. Among all geometries of Fabry-Perot cavities, near-concentric cavities exhibit the tightest focus of the cavity modes. A tight focus leads to a large electrical field in the focus, and therefore a strong coupling to an atom trapped there.

In a near-concentric cavity with a length of several millimeters, the effective mode volume can be very small and comparable to state-of-the-art cavities of micrometer lengths. The relatively large mirror separation permits to form a cavity with a narrow spectral linewidth already with mirrors of low finesse that are less challenging to make. Other advantages are a better optical access to the focal region, which can be helpful for preparation and manipulation of quantum emitters like atoms or ions.

Furthermore, the near-degeneracy in resonant frequen-




FIG. 1: Schematic of a near-concentric cavity assembly. Arrows indicate the moving directions of piezo segments.

cies of transverse modes of near-concentric cavities is an intriguing feature to explore the physics of multi-mode strong coupling in cavity quantum electrodynamics [8].

However, near-concentric cavities have not been widely explored yet, mainly because they require mirrors that cover a relatively large solid angle, and because of technical hurdles of stabilizing both the longitudinal and transverse mirror positions.

Here, we report on a compact design of a symmetric near-concentric cavity with a length of 11 mm corresponding to a free spectral range of 13.6 GHz, and the strategy to stabilize it to the last few stable resonances near the concentric point. The design is intended to study atom-light interaction but can be easily adapted to a wider range of experiments.

*christian.kurtsiefer@gmail.com

II. OPTICAL SETUP

A. Cavity design

The spherical cavity mirrors of our cavity have a radius of curvature $R_C = 5.5$ mm, and a nominal reflectivity of $R = 99.5\%$ at a wavelength of 780 nm. For effective matching to an external probe mode, we use an ellipsoidal second surface to transform a collimated (Gaussian) input mode into a spherical wave at the mirror surface. The details of characterization and aberrations analysis of the mirrors can be found in [9].

To align the cavity and correct for thermal drifts, we place one of the cavity mirrors on a shear piezo stack with a travel range of ± 5 μ m in three orthogonal directions. The cavity mounting system is shown in Fig. 1 and fits into a cuvette of a vacuum chamber which provides convenient optical access to the cavity focus for other optical beams preparing atoms in experiment. Except for the cavity mirror shields, all the mechanical parts are made from Titanium to reduce the structural change of the mounting system due to thermal fluctuation.

B. Alignment procedure

The relatively large numerical aperture of near-concentric cavity modes and the aspheric outside surface of the cavity mirrors require that the optical axes of the two cavity mirrors coincide – a requirement that is much less critical in conventional cavity arrangements. Additionally, the absolute transverse separation of the mirror surfaces needs to be near the critical distance within the moving range of the piezo translator.

A collimated laser beam between two fiber couplers defines a reference line for the alignment of the cavity mirrors. One cavity mirror is pre-assembled in the movable mirror holder. Then the other cavity mirror is gradually moved into the cavity holder on an external translation stage. Throughout the alignment process, the reflected beams from the two cavity mirrors are monitored and ensured to couple back to the optical fibers. This keeps the tilt of the mirrors under control, and provides a coarse transverse alignment between the two cavity mirrors. The fine adjustment is carried out by a piezo system on the external translation stage, before the mirror is glued into the aligned position inside the cavity holder.

C. Longitudinal locking scheme

As we intend to use the cavity for cavity QED experiments, we need to stabilize its resonance frequency with respect to an atomic transition independently from the light used to interact with the atom-cavity system. Therefore, a separate wavelength, far detuned from the

atomic transition under consideration is used. The optical layout of the locking scheme is shown in Fig. 2. Laser light at wavelengths of 780 nm and 810 nm is coupled into the near-concentric cavity, which we refer to as probe and the lock light, respectively. The probe laser is referenced to a D2 transition of ^{87}Rb via a modulation transfer spectroscopy [10]. The stability of the probe laser is passed to the lock laser at 810 nm wavelength via a transfer cavity. For that, the transfer cavity is first locked to the probe laser. Then, one sideband generated by an electro-optical-modulator (EOM) on the 810 nm light is locked to a resonance of the transfer cavity. By tuning the frequency of the sideband, the frequency of the lock laser can be adjusted, and is chosen such that the probe and lock beams are simultaneously resonant with the near-concentric cavity. The probe light itself can be tuned around the atomic resonance through another EOM in a similar way. All locks use the standard Pound-Drever-Hall technique [11] with additional sidebands at 20 MHz which never reach the near-concentric cavity.

III. CAVITY LENGTH MEASUREMENT

The eigenmodes of an optical resonator with spherical mirrors can be described by Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) functions, as they form a complete basis to solutions of the paraxial wave equation, and capture well the cylindrical symmetry of the resonator along the optical axis [12]. We denote cavity modes as LG_{nlp} with integer number mode indices n, l, p . Modes of different n identify longitudinal modes, while l and p characterize the transverse mode profile. The resonance frequencies of the cavity modes are fixed by the condition that the round-trip phase shift in the cavity must be an integer multiple of 2π . As the cavity length approaches concentric point, the shift of the transverse mode frequencies approaches the free spectral range. Therefore all transverse modes become co-resonant in the concentric regime.

Making use of this property, we determine the cavity length by measuring the spacing of resonant frequencies between the fundamental mode LG_{00} and the transverse mode LG_{10} . Under paraxial approximation, the resonance frequencies of the cavity with identical spherical mirrors are given by

$$\nu_{n,l,p} = n \frac{c}{2l_{\text{cav}}} + (1 + |l| + 2p) \frac{c}{2l_{\text{cav}}} \frac{\Delta\psi}{\pi}, \quad (1)$$

where c is the speed of light, $\Delta\psi = 2 \tan^{-1}(l_{\text{cav}}/2z_0)$ the Gouy phase difference after one round trip of LG_{00} , and z_0 the Rayleigh range of the cavity [13]. From Eq. (1) follows an expression for frequency spacing of LG_{00} and LG_{10} in terms of l_{cav} and R_C ,

$$\Delta\nu_{\text{tr}} = \nu_{n00} - \nu_{n10} = \frac{c}{2l_{\text{cav}}} \left(1 - \frac{\cos^{-1}g}{\pi} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $g = 1 - l_{\text{cav}}/R_C$ is the stability parameter.




FIG. 2: Locking scheme of the near-concentric cavity setup. Red and orange lines indicate the beams from 780 nm probe laser and 810 nm lock laser, respectively. The frequency of the probe laser is stabilized to a D2 transition of ^{87}Rb by modulation transfer spectroscopy. The lock laser's sideband is locked to resonance of the transfer cavity, which in turn is stabilized to the probe laser. The frequency of the lock laser can be tuned by adjusting the sideband frequency. The near-concentric cavity is stabilized to the lock laser. All cavity locking schemes use the standard Pound-Drever-Hall technique with 20 MHz phase modulation. The cavity transmission of probe and lock lasers are separated by a dichroic mirror (DM). A camera with linear response (C) and a photodetector (PD1) are placed at the cavity transmission's 780 nm arm to observe the resonant modes. PBS: polarization beam splitter. BS: beam splitter. SMF: single-mode fibers.




FIG. 3: Transverse-mode frequency spacing ($\Delta\nu_{tr}$) at different critical distance (d) of cavity lengths that are resonant with 780 nm laser. The solid line is the fit based on Eq. 2. Error bars show the standard deviation of the measurement. The inset shows a typical cavity transmission spectrum and the derived $\Delta\nu_{tr}$.

In the experiment, we obtain cavity transmission spectra by varying the cavity length within a free spectral range. We record spectra at different resonant cavity lengths, and apply a peak detection algorithm to determine the resonant frequencies. Different transverse modes are distinguished by imaging the intensity distri-

bution of light transmitted through the cavity with a camera. The frequency measurements are calibrated with a frequency marker obtained by modulating the probe laser with an electro-optical phase modulator (EOM).

Figure 3 shows the transverse mode frequency spacing at different cavity lengths which are resonant with the 780 nm laser. We define the critical distance as $d = 2R_C - l_{cav}$. From a fit of experimental data points to Eq. 2, we determine $d = 207(13)$ nm at the last stable resonance, which corresponds to the stability parameter $g = -0.99996(2)$. This is consistent with our observation that when increasing the cavity length by another half wavelength, the cavity enters the unstable regime and exhibits lossy cavity modes (see Fig. 4 and the next section).

The good agreement between the experimental data, including the last resonant point, and the fit based on the paraxial equation prompts us to discuss the validity of the paraxial approximation in our near-concentric cavity. In the paraxial approximation, the complex electric field amplitude of a beam propagating in the z direction can be described as $E(x, y, z) = u(x, y, z)e^{-ikz}$, where k is the longitudinal wave vector component, and $u(x, y, z)$ an envelope function; its slow variation in the paraxial approximation requires

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial^2 z} \right| \ll \left| 2k \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|. \quad (3)$$

Conventionally, Eq. 3 is considered valid for optical beam components with an angle with the optical axis

up to ≈ 30 degrees [14]. Transverse fundamental near-concentric cavity modes (LG_{n00}) have a beam divergence of $\theta = \lambda/\pi w_0$, where λ is the wavelength of the resonant mode (780 nm in our case) and w_0 is the cavity beam waist. Taking the beam divergence now as a characteristic angle with the optical axis, the divergence limit of 30 degrees for the paraxial approximation corresponds to $w_0 \leq 496$ nm, or equivalently $d \leq 0.5$ nm. The region of critical distances we explore is much larger, so the paraxial approximation is still valid. Note that the definition of the critical distance d and validity of Eq. (1) are based on a meaningful definition of a mirror surface position. The thickness of the dielectric Bragg stacks forming the mirrors for our cavity exceeds by far the critical distances d for the last stable longitudinal resonances, so the absolute position of the mirror surface has to refer to an effective position of these Bragg stacks.

IV. CAVITY MODE ANALYSIS

Earlier observations indicated that the cavity finesse reduces significantly as the cavity is pushed toward the geometrical instability regime [15]. In contrast to this, possibly due to refined manufacturing techniques of large angle spherical mirror surfaces, we find that our near-concentric cavity can maintain the transmission and linewidth at the last two resonant cavity lengths before the unstable regime. Typical cavity transmission spectra are shown in Fig. 4. To characterize line widths of slightly overlapping cavity modes, we model the cavity transmission by a sum of two Lorentzian functions,

$$T(\nu) = \frac{T_1}{4(\nu - \nu_1)^2/\gamma_1^2 + 1} + \frac{T_2}{4(\nu - \nu_2)^2/\gamma_2^2 + 1}, \quad (4)$$

where $T_{1(2)}$ are transmission coefficients, $\nu_{1(2)}$ resonant frequencies, and $\gamma_{1(2)}$ the line widths of cavity modes LG_{00} and LG_{10} . From a fit of Eq. (4) to cavity transmission spectra we then determine the cavity parameters at multiple cavity lengths.

We find that at $d = 207$ nm, the last longitudinal resonance of the cavity, fundamental mode maintains the cavity linewidth and transmissions of other longitudinal resonances. The observed linewidth of the fundamental mode LG_{00} still agrees well with the nominal value of 21.7 MHz determined from the cavity mirror's design reflectivity of 0.995 at a wavelength of 780 nm. In contrast, the transverse modes start to overlap at the last resonant length, and the probe laser simultaneously couples to multiple cavity modes such that the second cavity mode becomes difficult to identify, resulting in a broadened effective linewidth of 98(2) MHz from the fit. An increase of the cavity length by another half wavelength leads to a decrease in the cavity transmission and, an increase in the cavity linewidth, which are indicative of unstable cavity modes.

Besides the scattering and absorption loss, due to the finite mirror aperture, the cavity can exhibit additional




FIG. 4: Cavity transmission spectra, measured by detuning the cavity through small changes (few nm) in the cavity length. (a) $d = 597$ nm. The dashed line is a fit to a sum of two Lorentzian functions modeling two resonant peaks. (b) $d = 207$ nm. Transverse modes become degenerate and form a long tail extending out to the lower frequencies. (c) $d = -183$ nm. The cavity is in the unstable regime. Insets show the transmitted transverse mode profiles recorded by a (partly saturated) camera.

geometrical diffraction losses if there is misalignment between the two optical axes of the cavity mirrors. This loss becomes more critical for near-unstable cavities. Hence, we try to assess the misalignment in our cavity based on the observed variation of cavity linewidth across the cavity lengths. Under the assumption that the misalignment is entirely due to the tilting of the mirrors, the diffraction loss per round trip is given by [16]:

$$\alpha = \theta^2 \frac{1 + g^2}{(1 - g^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\pi l_{cav}}{\lambda} \frac{(a/w_m)^2}{\exp[2(a/w_m)^2] - 1}, \quad (5)$$

where θ is the misalignment angle, a the radius of cavity mirror aperture, and w_m the beam waist on the mirrors. Attributing all cavity losses to such diffraction losses bounds the misalignment to about 0.5 degrees. This is compatible with what we expect from the alignment




FIG. 5: Drift of cavity alignment. Light transmitted through a cavity resonance is coupled to a single mode fiber as a mode filter. The relative transmission (before and after the single mode fiber) as a function of transverse displacement of the second cavity mirror is shown over some time without any stabilization.

procedure, as the reflected laser beams from the cavity mirrors are ensured to couple back to the optical fibers.

V. TRANSVERSE STABILIZATION

The alignment of near-concentric cavities is sensitive to the transverse positions of the cavity mirrors. To quantify this, we measure the coupling efficiency of a resonant cavity mode to the mode defined by a single mode fiber as we displace one of the cavity mirrors in x and y directions (see Fig. 5). Throughout the measurement, the cavity length is locked to the frequency stabilized 810 nm laser. The transmission profiles in Fig. 5 show a FWHM of 42(8) nm and 54(10) nm for displacements in x and y . We observe a drift of 22 nm of cavity alignment over a time of one hour. With the thermal expansion coefficients of the cavity setup, such a change of the fundamental mode transmission by 10% could be caused by a temperature change on the order of 100 mK. Practical operation of a near-concentric cavity therefore requires either careful temperature stabilization of the setup, or a transverse locking scheme.

To actively compensate for transverse drifts, we implement a two-dimensional lock-in algorithm based on gradient search method to maximize the cavity transmission for the two transverse displacement variables. Figure 6 shows a typical record of cavity transmission at the last resonant length when the stabilization algorithm is activated at two instances. The slow drift on the order of minutes between these instances is probably caused by the temperature change of the cavity. The average search time to recover the maximum cavity transmission is on the order of seconds, and thus would not significantly reduce the duty cycle of an experiment. With both temperature stabilization and active transverse sta-

bilization, the near-concentric cavity remains aligned for a few hours.




FIG. 6: Transverse stability of the near-concentric cavity at the last stable longitudinal resonance ($d = 207$ nm). The slow drift of cavity transmission on the order of minutes is due to the transverse misalignment caused by temperature change, while the cavity length is locked to a probe light resonance during the measurement. Vertical arrows indicate the activation of the stabilization algorithm, where the cavity transmission recovers to the maximum value after the successful implementation of the algorithm within a few seconds.

VI. CONCLUSION

We presented a compact design, alignment procedure and stabilization methods of a Fabry-Perot near-concentric optical cavity. In our experiment, we find that the cavity design preserves cavity linewidth and cavity transmission when being operated at 207(13) nm shorter than the concentric point, the last longitudinal resonance for this cavity setup.

At this cavity length, the measured transverse mode frequency spacing of 40(5) MHz is of the same order as the estimated atom-cavity coupling strength of 20 MHz for a Rb atom placed into the cavity mode. This permits to probe the dynamics of an atomic state when strongly coupling to several cavity modes, opening an avenue to experimentally explore multi-mode cavity QED in the optical regime, and new schemes of interaction of photons simultaneously present in different modes.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education in Singapore and the National Research Foundation, Prime Ministers office (partly under grant no NRF-CRP12-2013-03).

-
- [1] A. Reiserer and G. Rempe, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **87**, 1379 (2015), arXiv:1412.2889 .
 - [2] S. Ritter, C. Nölleke, C. Hahn, A. Reiserer, A. Neuzner, M. Uphoff, M. Mücke, E. Figueroa, J. Bochmann, and G. Rempe, *Nature* **484**, 195 (2012), arXiv:1202.5955 .
 - [3] A. Reiserer, N. Kalb, G. Rempe, and S. Ritter, *Nature* **508**, 237 (2014), arXiv:1404.2453 .
 - [4] K. C. Cox, D. H. Meyer, N. A. Schine, F. K. Fatemi, and P. D. Kunz, (2018), arXiv:1802.05707 .
 - [5] C. H. Nguyen, A. N. Utama, N. Lewty, K. Durak, G. Maslennikov, S. Straupe, M. Steiner, and C. Kurtsiefer, *Physical Review A* **96**, 031802 (2017), arXiv:1706.01256 .
 - [6] S. E. Morin, C. C. Yu, and T. W. Mossberg, *Physical Review Letters* **73**, 1489 (1994).
 - [7] H. Wang, M. Dovalé-Álvarez, C. Collins, D. D. Brown, M. Wang, C. M. Mow-Lowry, S. Han, and A. Freise, *Physical Review D* **97**, 022001 (2018), arXiv:1711.05177 .
 - [8] K. E. Ballantine, B. L. Lev, and J. Keeling, *Physical Review Letters* **118**, 045302 (2017), arXiv:1608.07246 .
 - [9] K. Durak, C. H. Nguyen, V. Leong, S. Straupe, and C. Kurtsiefer, *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 103002 (2014), arXiv:1404.7672 .
 - [10] D. J. McCarron, S. A. King, and S. L. Cornish, *Measurement Science and Technology* **19**, 105601 (2008), arXiv:0805.2708 .
 - [11] R. W. P. Drever, J. L. Hall, F. V. Kowalski, J. Hough, G. M. Ford, A. J. Munley, and H. Ward, *Applied Physics B Photophysics and Laser Chemistry* **31**, 97 (1983), arXiv:1602.03504 .
 - [12] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, *Physical Review A* **45**, 8185 (1992).
 - [13] B. E. A. Saleh and M. C. Teich, “Resonator Optics,” in *Fundamentals of Photonics* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2001) pp. 310–341.
 - [14] A. E. Siegman, *Lasers* (University Science Books, 1986).
 - [15] A. Haase, B. Hessmo, and J. Schmiedmayer, *Optics Letters* **31**, 268 (2006), arXiv:0510166 [physics] .
 - [16] R. Hauck, H. P. Körtz, and H. Weber, *Applied Optics* **19**, 598 (1980).