

The detailed calculation of an excitation probability  $P_e$  of a two-level atom by a travelling light pulse is described in details in [1–3]. In particular,  $P_e(t)$  is determined by dynamical coupling strength  $g(t)$  which is given by [1]

$$g(t) = \sqrt{\Gamma_p N} \xi(t). \quad (1)$$

Here,  $N$  is the average number of photons in a pulse with a coherent statistics,  $\xi(t)$  is the normalized temporal envelope mode function and  $\Gamma_p$  is the decay rate of an atom into a spatial mode subtended by an excitation pulse. Since in the theoretical description of experiments described below,  $\Gamma_p$  will be the only parameter, we briefly outline its evaluation for experimental settings.

We start with the expression of the electric field operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}^+(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \sum_{\lambda} \int d^3\mathbf{k} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_0}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},\lambda} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k},\lambda} u_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-i\omega_k t}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\omega_k = c|\mathbf{k}|$ ,  $c$  is the vacuum speed of light,  $\epsilon_0$  is the permittivity of the vacuum,  $u_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$  are spatial mode functions, and  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$  are unit polarization vectors  $\lambda = 1, 2$ . A two-level atom, represented by a dipole operator  $\hat{d} = |d| \hat{\sigma}_{x,y,z} \mathbf{e}_d$  interacts with a field at its location  $\mathbf{r}_a$  with a coupling strength (dipole matrix element, Rabi frequency, interaction Hamiltonian, etc...)  $g(\mathbf{r}_a) = (\hat{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^+)$

$$g(\mathbf{r}_a) = |d| \sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{(2\pi)^3 2\hbar\epsilon_0}} u_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}(\mathbf{e}_d \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_d$  is the unit dipole vector defining atomic transition symmetry. Using Wigner-Weisskopf approximation, one arrives to spontaneous decay rate  $\Gamma_p$  of an atom into pulse mode [4]

$$\Gamma_p = 2\pi \sum_{\lambda} \int d^3\mathbf{k} |g_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2 \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega_a). \quad (4)$$

Substitution of Eq. 3 into Eq. 4 and employing spherical coordinates yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_p &= \Gamma \cdot \left(\frac{3\Lambda}{8\pi}\right) \\ \Gamma &= \frac{1}{3\pi} \left(\frac{\omega_a}{c}\right)^3 \frac{|d|^2}{\hbar\epsilon_0} \\ \Lambda &= \int d\Omega |u_{\vec{k},\lambda}|^2 |(\hat{e}_d \cdot \hat{e}_{\vec{k},\lambda})|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here  $\Gamma$  is the overall spontaneous decay rate [3]. The overlap integral  $\Lambda \in [0, \frac{8\pi}{3}]$  shows the overlap between the spatial mode subtended by a pulse and atomic dipolar emission modes. Evaluation of this integral for different

input mode functions was done in several recent works [5–7]. Since in our experiment the spatial mode function of the excitation pulse is a strongly focused Gaussian, we will follow results of work [7] to express the overlap integral in terms of the focusing strength  $u := w_L/f$  defined through input beam waist  $w_L$  and focal distance of the coupling lens  $f$

$$\Lambda = \frac{2\pi R_{sc}}{3} = \frac{\pi}{2u^3} e^{2/u^2} \left[ \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{u^2}\right) + u\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{u^2}\right) \right]^2 \quad (6)$$

For our experimental value  $u = 0.22$ ,  $\Gamma_p \approx 0.03\Gamma$  and coupling strength of equation 1 becomes

$$g(t) = \sqrt{0.03\Gamma N} \xi(t). \quad (7)$$

- 
- [1] Y. Wang, J. Minar, L. Sheridan, and V. Scarani, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 063842 (2011).
  - [2] M. Stobińska, G. Alber, and G. Leuchs, *Europhys. Lett.* **86**, 14007 (2009), URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1666v2>.
  - [3] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Atom-Photon Interactions: Basic Processes and Application* (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1992).
  - [4] M. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
  - [5] M. Sondermann, R. Maiwald, H. Konermann, N. Lindlein, U. Peschel, and G. Leuchs, *Appl. Phys. B* **89**, 489 (2007).
  - [6] G. Zumofen, N. M. Mojarad, V. Sandoghdar, and M. Agio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 180404 (2008).
  - [7] M. K. Tey, S. A. Aljunid, F. Huber, B. Chng, Z. Chen, G. Maslennikov, and C. Kurtsiefer, *New Journal of Physics* **11**, 043011 (2009).