STRONG INTERACTION BETWEEN LIGHT AND SINGLE TRAPPED ATOM WITHOUT A CAVITY



Meng Khoon Tey, Zilong Chen, Syed Abdullah Aljunid, Brenda Chng, <u>Gleb Maslennikov</u> and Christian Kurtsiefer

Motivation

Light-Atom Interface for Quantum Information

quantum repeaters & quantum memory

(J. I. Cirac et. al, PRL, 78, 3221, L. M. Duan et. al, Nature, 414, 413)

conditional phase gates on photonic qubits

(S. M. Savage et. al, Opt.Lett, 15, 628)

Precision Spectroscopy with Cold Atoms

determination of atomic polarizabilities

(B. Arora et. al, PRA, 76, 052616, M. Safronova, et. al, PRA, 73, 022505)

atomic response to the excitation profile

(P.V. Elyutin, arXiv:quant-ph/0802.0913, E. S. Kyoseva et al, PRA, 73, 023420)



The scattering probability
$$p_{sc} = \frac{P_{sc}^{tot}}{P_{in}}$$

Concentration of the incoming field at the position of the atom is necessary!

One solution: use a high-finesse cavity around the atom



Many ongoing experiments CalTech, Univ. of Georgia, Max-Planck-Institute, etc...



Hard to obtain P_{sc}^{tot} , need a detector, covering 4π solid angle



Relate $P_{\rm sc}$ to the transmission of the probe through the atom

$$T = \frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{in}}} = \frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{sc}} + \alpha P_{\text{sc}}}{P_{\text{in}}}$$
$$p_{\text{sc}} = \frac{1 - T}{1 - \alpha}$$

If α (collection efficiency) is small

$$p_{\rm sc} \simeq 1 - T$$

Experimental Setup



AL – aspheric lenses (f = 4.5 mm, full NA = 0.55), DM – dichroic mirror, F1 – filters to block 980 nm light,

Losses: 55% transmission from \triangle to \bigcirc dominated by reflection loss at optical surfaces

⁸⁷Rb Atom in the Optical Dipole Trap: AC Stark shift

Dipole trap laser wavelength: 980 nm



Experimental Procedure



Experimental Results



Resonance frequency depends on the AC Stark shift of the energy level Infer polarizability!



$$p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 W_{\rm L}^2} \left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2$$
$$\left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{W_{\rm f}}{W_{\rm L}}\right)^2 \qquad p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 W_{\rm f}^2}$$

1. Paraxial approximation

$$p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 w_{\rm L}^2} \left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2$$

eximation $\left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{w_{\rm f}}{w_{\rm L}}\right)^2$ $p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 w_{\rm f}^2}$

1. Paraxial approximation

Inappropriate for strong focusing regime

$$p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 w_{\rm L}^2} \left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2$$
$$\left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{w_{\rm f}}{w_{\rm L}}\right)^2 \qquad p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 w_{\rm f}^2}$$

- 1. Paraxial approximation
- Decomposition of the field into modes with cylindrical symmetry and model the action of the lens as a local phase shifter of the wavefront curvature (S. J. van Enk and H. J. Kimble, PRA, 63, 023809)

$$p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 W_{\rm L}^2} \left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2$$
$$\left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{W_{\rm f}}{W_{\rm L}}\right)^2 \qquad p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 W_{\rm f}^2}$$

1. Paraxial approximation

 Decomposition of the field into modes with cylindrical symmetry and model the action of the lens as a local phase shifter of the wavefront curvature (S. J. van Enk and H. J. Kimble, PRA, 63, 023809)

Original paper parabolic wave front after the lens

Allows analytical integration for field decomposition

Does not efficiently concentrate the incoming energy at the focus for strong focusing regime

$$p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 W_{\rm L}^2} \left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2$$
$$\left(\frac{E_{\rm A}}{E_{\rm L}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{W_{\rm f}}{W_{\rm L}}\right)^2 \qquad p_{\rm sc} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^2 W_{\rm f}^2}$$

1. Paraxial approximation

 Decomposition of the field into modes with cylindrical symmetry and model the action of the lens as a local phase shifter of the wavefront curvature (S. J. van Enk and H. J. Kimble, PRA, 63, 023809)





Comparison of experiment and theory



If one focuses stronger....



Substantial scattering probability can be achieved for a focused coherent light beam!

Experiment: scattering probability of 10.4 % was directly measured for the maximum focusing achievable with current lens setup.

Theory: scattering probability up to 98% is predicted for lenses with realistic focal length.

Thank you for your attention!



Antibunching in single atom fluorescence

